

Annexure 1 of to Study on Carbon Market Opportunities and Technologies for Seven Eastern Africa Countries

Country Assessments

Contents

Burundi.....	2
Ethiopia	17
Kenya.....	32
Rwanda.....	48
Sudan	66
Tanzania	81
Uganda	98

Burundi

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Improved Cook Stoves	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	3	12	3	12.00
Improved Cook Stoves	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	1	22	3	7.33
Improved Cook Stoves	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	3	7	3	7.00
Improved Cook Stoves	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	3	12	3	12.00
Improved Cook Stoves	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	2	11	3	7.33
Improved Cook Stoves	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	1	7	3	2.33
Improved Cook Stoves	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14.00
Improved Cook Stoves	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	1	3	3	1.00
Improved Cook Stoves	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	3	12	4	9.00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Solar Home systems	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	3	12	3	12,00
Solar Home systems	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
Solar Home systems	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	7	3	2,33
Solar Home systems	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	12	3	4,00
Solar Home systems	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	11	3	11,00
Solar Home systems	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	3	7	3	7,00
Solar Home systems	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Solar Home systems	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-
Solar Home systems	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	3	12	4	9,00
Solar PV	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	12	3	8,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Solar PV	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Solar PV	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
Solar PV	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	12	3	8,00
Solar PV	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	11	3	11,00
Solar PV	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
Solar PV	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Solar PV	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Solar PV	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	12	4	6,00
Wind	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	1	12	3	4,00
Wind	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Wind	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	1	7	3	2,33
Wind	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	1	12	3	4,00
Wind	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	1	11	3	3,67
Wind	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	1	7	3	2,33
Wind	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
Wind	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Wind	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	1	12	4	3,00
Large hydropower	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	12	3	8,00
Large hydropower	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Large hydropower	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	1	7	3	2,33

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Large hydropower	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	0	12	3	-
Large hydropower	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	2	11	3	7,33
Large hydropower	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	1	7	3	2,33
Large hydropower	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Large hydropower	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Large hydropower	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	2	12	4	6,00
Small/micro-hydropower	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	12	3	8,00
Small/micro-hydropower	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Small/micro-hydropower	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
Small/micro-hydropower	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	2	12	3	8,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Small/micro-hydropower	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	11	3	11,00
Small/micro-hydropower	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	1	7	3	2,33
Small/micro-hydropower	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Small/micro-hydropower	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Small/micro-hydropower	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	12	4	6,00
Biogas production	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	1	12	3	4,00
Biogas production	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Biogas production	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
Biogas production	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	12	3	4,00
Biogas production	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	11	3	11,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Biogas production	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
Biogas production	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Biogas production	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,00
Biogas production	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	3	12	4	9,00
Green Hydrogen	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	1	12	3	4,00
Green Hydrogen	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
Green Hydrogen	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	7	3	2,33
Green Hydrogen	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	12	3	4,00
Green Hydrogen	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	11	3	7,33
Green Hydrogen	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Green Hydrogen	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	0	14	3	-
Green Hydrogen	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-
Green Hydrogen	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	1	12	4	3,00
E-cooking	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	12	3	8,00
E-cooking	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
E-cooking	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	3	7	3	7,00
E-cooking	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	1	12	3	4,00
E-cooking	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	11	3	11,00
E-cooking	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	3	7	3	7,00
E-cooking	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
E-cooking	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,00
E-cooking	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	3	12	4	9,00
E-mobility	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	1	12	3	4,00
E-mobility	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
E-mobility	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	7	3	2,33
E-mobility	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	12	3	4,00
E-mobility	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	11	3	7,33
E-mobility	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
E-mobility	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
E-mobility	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
E-mobility	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	12	4	6,00
Biomass to energy	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	12	3	8,00
Biomass to energy	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Biomass to energy	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
Biomass to energy	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	12	3	4,00
Biomass to energy	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	11	3	11,00
Biomass to energy	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
Biomass to energy	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Biomass to energy	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,00
Biomass to energy	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI 	3	12	4	9,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 				
Composting	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	1	12	3	4,00
Composting	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Composting	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	1	7	3	2,33
Composting	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	3	12	3	12,00
Composting	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	2	11	3	7,33
Composting	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
Composting	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Composting	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	1	3	3	1,00
Composting	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	4	12	4	12,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Waste-water treatment	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	0	12	3	-
Waste-water treatment	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	1	22	3	7,33
Waste-water treatment	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	1	7	3	2,33
Waste-water treatment	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	1	12	3	4,00
Waste-water treatment	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	11	3	11,00
Waste-water treatment	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
Waste-water treatment	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Waste-water treatment	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	1	3	3	1,00
Waste-water treatment	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	1	12	4	3,00
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	0	12	3	-

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	3	12	3	12,00
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	2	11	3	7,33
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	1	7	3	2,33
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,00
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	2	12	4	6,00
Soil and water conservation	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	12	3	8,00
Soil and water conservation	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Soil and water conservation	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
Soil and water conservation	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	2	12	3	8,00
Soil and water conservation	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	11	3	11,00
Soil and water conservation	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	1	7	3	2,33
Soil and water conservation	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Soil and water conservation	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	1	3	3	1,00
Soil and water conservation	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	3	12	4	9,00
Agroforestry	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	12	3	8,00
Agroforestry	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Agroforestry	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	1	7	3	2,33

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Agroforestry	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	2	12	3	8,00
Agroforestry	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	11	3	11,00
Agroforestry	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	1	7	3	2,33
Agroforestry	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
Agroforestry	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	1	3	3	1,00
Agroforestry	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	3	12	4	9,00
BECCS	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	1	12	3	4,00
BECCS	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	1	22	3	7,33
BECCS	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
BECCS	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	1	12	3	4,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
BECCS	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	11	3	7,33
BECCS	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
BECCS	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
BECCS	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-
BECCS	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	0	12	4	-

Ethiopia

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Improved Cook Stoves	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	3	16	3	16,0
Improved Cook Stoves	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	1	22	3	7,3

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Improved Cook Stoves	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	3	5	3	5,0
Improved Cook Stoves	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	3	9	3	9,0
Improved Cook Stoves	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,7
Improved Cook Stoves	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	1	10	3	3,3
Improved Cook Stoves	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,0
Improved Cook Stoves	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	1	3	3	1,0
Improved Cook Stoves	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,3
Solar Home systems	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	3	16	3	16,0
Solar Home systems	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,0

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Solar Home systems	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	5	3	3,3
Solar Home systems	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	2	9	3	6,0
Solar Home systems	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,0
Solar Home systems	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	2	10	3	6,7
Solar Home systems	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,7
Solar Home systems	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,0
Solar Home systems	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	4	11	4	11,0
Small/micro-hydropower	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	0	16	3	0,0
Small/micro-hydropower	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,7

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Small/micro-hydropower	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	5	3	3,3
Small/micro-hydropower	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	2	9	3	6,0
Small/micro-hydropower	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,0
Small/micro-hydropower	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	1	10	3	3,3
Small/micro-hydropower	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,7
Small/micro-hydropower	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,0
Small/micro-hydropower	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	1	11	4	2,8
Wind	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,7
Wind	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,0

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Wind	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	1	5	3	1,7
Wind	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	1	9	3	3,0
Wind	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	1	10	3	3,3
Wind	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	2	10	3	6,7
Wind	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,0
Wind	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,0
Wind	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	1	11	4	2,8
Green Hydrogen	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,7
Green Hydrogen	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,7

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Green Hydrogen	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	1	5	3	1,7
Green Hydrogen	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	1	9	3	3,0
Green Hydrogen	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,7
Green Hydrogen	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	2	10	3	6,7
Green Hydrogen	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	0	14	3	0,0
Green Hydrogen	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	1	3	3	1,0
Green Hydrogen	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	1	11	4	2,8
E-cooking	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,7
E-cooking	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,7

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
E-cooking	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	3	5	3	5,0
E-cooking	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	2	9	3	6,0
E-cooking	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,0
E-cooking	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	3	10	3	10,0
E-cooking	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,3
E-cooking	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,0
E-cooking	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	4	11	4	11,0
E-mobility	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,7
E-mobility	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,0

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
E-mobility	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	1	5	3	1,7
E-mobility	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	1	9	3	3,0
E-mobility	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,7
E-mobility	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	3	10	3	10,0
E-mobility	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,3
E-mobility	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	0,0
E-mobility	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,3
Composting	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,7
Composting	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,7

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Composting	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	1	5	3	1,7
Composting	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	3	9	3	9,0
Composting	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,7
Composting	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	2	10	3	6,7
Composting	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,0
Composting	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,0
Composting	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	4	11	4	11,0
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,7
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,0

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	5	3	3,3
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	3	9	3	9,0
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,7
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	1	10	3	3,3
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,0
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,0
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,3
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	3	16	3	16,0

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,0
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	5	3	3,3
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	2	9	3	6,0
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,0
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	1	10	3	3,3
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,7
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,0

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,5
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	3	16	3	16,0
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,7
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,3
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,0
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,0
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,7
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,0
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	0,0

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,3
BECCS	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,7
BECCS	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	1	22	3	7,3
BECCS	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,3
BECCS	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,0
BECCS	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,7
BECCS	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,7
BECCS	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,7
BECCS	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	0,0

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
BECCS	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	1	11	4	2,8
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,7
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,0
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,3
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,0
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,7
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	1	10	3	3,3
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,7
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	1	3	3	1,0

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,3
Industry fuel switches	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	3	16	3	16,0
Industry fuel switches	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,0
Industry fuel switches	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,3
Industry fuel switches	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,0
Industry fuel switches	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,0
Industry fuel switches	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,7
Industry fuel switches	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,3
Industry fuel switches	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,0

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Industry fuel switches	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,5

Kenya

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Geothermal	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Geothermal	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Geothermal	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Geothermal	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Geothermal	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
Geothermal	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Geothermal	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Geothermal	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Geothermal	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25
Solar Home systems	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Solar Home systems	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Solar Home systems	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
Solar Home systems	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Solar Home systems	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Solar Home systems	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	3	10	3	10,00
Solar Home systems	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Solar Home systems	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Solar Home systems	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25
Solar dryers	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Solar dryers	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Solar dryers	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
Solar dryers	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Solar dryers	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Solar dryers	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	3	10	3	10,00
Solar dryers	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Solar dryers	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Solar dryers	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI 	2	11	4	5,50

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 				
Small/micro-hydropower	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Small/micro-hydropower	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Small/micro-hydropower	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Small/micro-hydropower	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Small/micro-hydropower	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Small/micro-hydropower	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Small/micro-hydropower	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Small/micro-hydropower	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Small/micro-hydropower	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,50

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Large hydropower	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Large hydropower	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Large hydropower	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
Large hydropower	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
Large hydropower	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
Large hydropower	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Large hydropower	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Large hydropower	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Large hydropower	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,50
Biomass to energy	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Biomass to energy	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
Biomass to energy	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	3	5	3	5,00
Biomass to energy	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Biomass to energy	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Biomass to energy	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
Biomass to energy	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Biomass to energy	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Biomass to energy	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,50
E-mobility	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	3	16	3	16,00
E-mobility	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
E-mobility	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
E-mobility	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
E-mobility	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
E-mobility	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	3	10	3	10,00
E-mobility	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
E-mobility	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-
E-mobility	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25
Green Hydrogen	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	3	16	3	16,00
Green Hydrogen	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
Green Hydrogen	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Green Hydrogen	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
Green Hydrogen	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
Green Hydrogen	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
Green Hydrogen	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	0	14	3	-
Green Hydrogen	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,00
Green Hydrogen	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	1	11	4	2,75
E-cooking	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
E-cooking	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
E-cooking	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
E-cooking	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
E-cooking	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
E-cooking	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	3	10	3	10,00
E-cooking	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
E-cooking	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
E-cooking	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,50
Biogas production	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	3	16	3	16,00
Biogas production	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Biogas production	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Biogas production	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Biogas production	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Biogas production	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	3	10	3	10,00
Biogas production	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Biogas production	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Biogas production	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25
Waste re-use / recycling	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Waste re-use / recycling	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Waste re-use / recycling	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
Waste re-use / recycling	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
Waste re-use / recycling	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
Waste re-use / recycling	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Waste re-use / recycling	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Waste re-use / recycling	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,00
Waste re-use / recycling	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25
Composting	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Composting	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
Composting	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
Composting	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Composting	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
Composting	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
Composting	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Composting	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,00
Composting	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	4	11	4	11,00
Afforestation and reforestation	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Afforestation and reforestation	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Afforestation and reforestation	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Afforestation and reforestation	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Afforestation and reforestation	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Afforestation and reforestation	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
Afforestation and reforestation	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Afforestation and reforestation	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Afforestation and reforestation	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	3	10	3	10,00
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI 	3	11	4	8,25

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 				
BECCS	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
BECCS	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
BECCS	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
BECCS	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
BECCS	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
BECCS	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
BECCS	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
BECCS	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	1	3	3	1,00
BECCS	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	0	11	4	-

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Wind	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Wind	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Wind	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Wind	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	3	9	3	9,00
Wind	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	1	10	3	3,33
Wind	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
Wind	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Wind	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Wind	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25
Biofuel	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Biofuel	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
Biofuel	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Biofuel	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
Biofuel	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Biofuel	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
Biofuel	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Biofuel	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,00
Biofuel	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,50

Rwanda

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Improved Cook Stoves	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	3	16	3	16,00
Improved Cook Stoves	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Improved Cook Stoves	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Improved Cook Stoves	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Improved Cook Stoves	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Improved Cook Stoves	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Improved Cook Stoves	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Improved Cook Stoves	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-
Improved Cook Stoves	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Solar PV	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Solar PV	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
Solar PV	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Solar PV	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Solar PV	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Solar PV	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Solar PV	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Solar PV	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Solar PV	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,50
Wind	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	1	16	3	5,33

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Wind	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	1	22	3	7,33
Wind	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
Wind	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
Wind	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Wind	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
Wind	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
Wind	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Wind	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,50
Small/micro-hydropower	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Small/micro-hydropower	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Small/micro-hydropower	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Small/micro-hydropower	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Small/micro-hydropower	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Small/micro-hydropower	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Small/micro-hydropower	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Small/micro-hydropower	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Small/micro-hydropower	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25
Geothermal	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	1	16	3	5,33
Geothermal	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Geothermal	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Geothermal	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Geothermal	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
Geothermal	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
Geothermal	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Geothermal	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Geothermal	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25
Improved charcoal technology	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Improved charcoal technology	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
Improved charcoal technology	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Improved charcoal technology	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Improved charcoal technology	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Improved charcoal technology	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
Improved charcoal technology	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Improved charcoal technology	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	1	3	3	1,00
Improved charcoal technology	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,50
E-cooking	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
E-cooking	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
E-cooking	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
E-cooking	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
E-cooking	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
E-cooking	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	3	10	3	10,00
E-cooking	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
E-cooking	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,00
E-cooking	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25
E-mobility	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	1	16	3	5,33
E-mobility	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
E-mobility	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
E-mobility	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
E-mobility	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
E-mobility	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	3	10	3	10,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
E-mobility	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
E-mobility	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-
E-mobility	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,50
Green Hydrogen	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Green Hydrogen	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
Green Hydrogen	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
Green Hydrogen	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
Green Hydrogen	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
Green Hydrogen	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
Green Hydrogen	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Green Hydrogen	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,00
Green Hydrogen	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	1	11	4	2,75
Rail Transport	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	1	16	3	5,33
Rail Transport	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
Rail Transport	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Rail Transport	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
Rail Transport	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
Rail Transport	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
Rail Transport	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Rail Transport	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Rail Transport	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	1	11	4	2,75
Composting	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	0	16	3	-
Composting	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Composting	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Composting	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	3	9	3	9,00
Composting	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
Composting	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Composting	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Composting	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,00
Composting	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI 	4	11	4	11,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 				
Waste to energy	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	1	16	3	5,33
Waste to energy	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Waste to energy	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Waste to energy	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	3	9	3	9,00
Waste to energy	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Waste to energy	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Waste to energy	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Waste to energy	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Waste to energy	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	1	16	3	5,33
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	3	9	3	9,00
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	1	3	3	1,00
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25
Soil and water conservation	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	1	16	3	5,33

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Soil and water conservation	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
Soil and water conservation	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Soil and water conservation	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Soil and water conservation	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Soil and water conservation	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Soil and water conservation	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Soil and water conservation	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-
Soil and water conservation	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	4	11	4	11,00
Improved livestock management	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	1	16	3	5,33
Improved livestock management	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Improved livestock management	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Improved livestock management	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	3	9	3	9,00
Improved livestock management	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Improved livestock management	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Improved livestock management	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Improved livestock management	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-
Improved livestock management	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	4	11	4	11,00
BECCS	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	1	16	3	5,33
BECCS	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
BECCS	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
BECCS	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
BECCS	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
BECCS	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
BECCS	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
BECCS	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
BECCS	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	0	11	4	-
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25
Refrigerant replacement	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	3	16	3	16,00
Refrigerant replacement	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
Refrigerant replacement	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
Refrigerant replacement	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
Refrigerant replacement	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Refrigerant replacement	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Refrigerant replacement	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Refrigerant replacement	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	1	3	3	1,00
Refrigerant replacement	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25
			2	16	3	10,67
Biofuel	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	22	3	14,67
Biofuel	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	5	3	5,00
Biofuel	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
Biofuel	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	3	10	3	10,00
Biofuel	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Biofuel	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	14	3	9,33
Biofuel	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	3	3	2,00
Biofuel	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	2	11	4	5,50
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,50

Sudan

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Improved Cook Stoves	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	12	3	8,00
Improved Cook Stoves	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	1	22	3	7,33
Improved Cook Stoves	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	3	7	3	7,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Improved Cook Stoves	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	12	3	8,00
Improved Cook Stoves	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	11	3	11,00
Improved Cook Stoves	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
Improved Cook Stoves	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Improved Cook Stoves	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-
Improved Cook Stoves	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	12	4	6,00
Solar PV	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	3	12	3	12,00
Solar PV	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Solar PV	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	7	3	2,33
Solar PV	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	12	3	8,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Solar PV	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	11	3	7,33
Solar PV	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
Solar PV	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Solar PV	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Solar PV	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	12	4	6,00
Wind	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	1	12	3	4,00
Wind	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
Wind	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	7	3	2,33
Wind	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	12	3	4,00
Wind	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	11	3	7,33

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Wind	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
Wind	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
Wind	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Wind	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	12	4	6,00
Geothermal	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	1	12	3	4,00
Geothermal	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
Geothermal	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
Geothermal	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	12	3	8,00
Geothermal	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	11	3	7,33
Geothermal	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Geothermal	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
Geothermal	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Geothermal	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	1	12	4	3,00
Compact Fluorescent Lights	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	3	12	3	12,00
Compact Fluorescent Lights	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
Compact Fluorescent Lights	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
Compact Fluorescent Lights	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	2	12	3	8,00
Compact Fluorescent Lights	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	11	3	11,00
Compact Fluorescent Lights	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
Compact Fluorescent Lights	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Compact Fluorescent Lights	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-
Compact Fluorescent Lights	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	12	4	6,00
Solar Home systems	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	12	3	8,00
Solar Home systems	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
Solar Home systems	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
Solar Home systems	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	12	3	8,00
Solar Home systems	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	11	3	11,00
Solar Home systems	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
Solar Home systems	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Solar Home systems	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Solar Home systems	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	3	12	4	9,00
E-cooking	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	1	12	3	4,00
E-cooking	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
E-cooking	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
E-cooking	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	12	3	4,00
E-cooking	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	11	3	11,00
E-cooking	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
E-cooking	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
E-cooking	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
E-cooking	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI 	2	12	4	6,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 				
E-mobility	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	1	12	3	4,00
E-mobility	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
E-mobility	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	1	7	3	2,33
E-mobility	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	1	12	3	4,00
E-mobility	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	2	11	3	7,33
E-mobility	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
E-mobility	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
E-mobility	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-
E-mobility	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	2	12	4	6,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Green Hydrogen	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	1	12	3	4,00
Green Hydrogen	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
Green Hydrogen	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	7	3	2,33
Green Hydrogen	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	12	3	4,00
Green Hydrogen	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	11	3	7,33
Green Hydrogen	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
Green Hydrogen	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	0	14	3	-
Green Hydrogen	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	1	3	3	1,00
Green Hydrogen	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	1	12	4	3,00
Composting	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	12	3	8,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Composting	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Composting	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	7	3	2,33
Composting	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	12	3	8,00
Composting	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	11	3	11,00
Composting	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	1	7	3	2,33
Composting	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
Composting	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,00
Composting	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	4	12	4	12,00
Soil and water conservation	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	12	3	8,00
Soil and water conservation	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Soil and water conservation	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
Soil and water conservation	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	2	12	3	8,00
Soil and water conservation	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	11	3	11,00
Soil and water conservation	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	1	7	3	2,33
Soil and water conservation	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Soil and water conservation	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	1	3	3	1,00
Soil and water conservation	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	3	12	4	9,00
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	12	3	8,00
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	3	7	3	7,00
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	2	12	3	8,00
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	11	3	11,00
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	1	7	3	2,33
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	2	12	4	6,00
Biogas production	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	1	12	3	4,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Biogas production	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Biogas production	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
Biogas production	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	12	3	4,00
Biogas production	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	11	3	11,00
Biogas production	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
Biogas production	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Biogas production	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Biogas production	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	3	12	4	9,00
BECCS	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	12	3	8,00
BECCS	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	1	22	3	7,33

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
BECCS	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
BECCS	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	1	12	3	4,00
BECCS	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	2	11	3	7,33
BECCS	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
BECCS	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
BECCS	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-
BECCS	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	0	12	4	-
Energy Efficient Boilers	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	12	3	8,00
Energy Efficient Boilers	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Energy Efficient Boilers	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	1	7	3	2,33

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Energy Efficient Boilers	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	12	3	4,00
Energy Efficient Boilers	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	11	3	11,00
Energy Efficient Boilers	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
Energy Efficient Boilers	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Energy Efficient Boilers	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	1	3	3	1,00
Energy Efficient Boilers	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	12	4	6,00
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	12	3	8,00
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	7	3	4,67
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	12	3	4,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	11	3	11,00
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	1	7	3	2,33
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	3	12	4	9,00

Tanzania

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Improved Cook Stoves	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Improved Cook Stoves	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Improved Cook Stoves	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Improved Cook Stoves	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
Improved Cook Stoves	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Improved Cook Stoves	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Improved Cook Stoves	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Improved Cook Stoves	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,00
Improved Cook Stoves	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25
Large hydropower	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Large hydropower	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Large hydropower	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
Large hydropower	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Large hydropower	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
Large hydropower	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Large hydropower	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Large hydropower	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Large hydropower	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,50
Small/micro-hydropower	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Small/micro-hydropower	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Small/micro-hydropower	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Small/micro-hydropower	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Small/micro-hydropower	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Small/micro-hydropower	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Small/micro-hydropower	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Small/micro-hydropower	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Small/micro-hydropower	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,50
Solar PV	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Solar PV	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Solar PV	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Solar PV	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Solar PV	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Solar PV	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Solar PV	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Solar PV	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Solar PV	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,50
Wind	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Wind	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Wind	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Wind	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Wind	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Wind	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Wind	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Wind	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Wind	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,50
Geothermal	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Geothermal	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Geothermal	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Geothermal	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
Geothermal	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Geothermal	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
Geothermal	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
Geothermal	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Geothermal	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,50
Biomass to energy	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Biomass to energy	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Biomass to energy	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Biomass to energy	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Biomass to energy	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Biomass to energy	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
Biomass to energy	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Biomass to energy	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Biomass to energy	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI 	2	11	4	5,50

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 				
E-cooking	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	0	16	3	-
E-cooking	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
E-cooking	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
E-cooking	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
E-cooking	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
E-cooking	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	3	10	3	10,00
E-cooking	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
E-cooking	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	1	3	3	1,00
E-cooking	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
E-mobility	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	1	16	3	5,33
E-mobility	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
E-mobility	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
E-mobility	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
E-mobility	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
E-mobility	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	3	10	3	10,00
E-mobility	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
E-mobility	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-
E-mobility	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25
Green Hydrogen	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Green Hydrogen	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
Green Hydrogen	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
Green Hydrogen	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
Green Hydrogen	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
Green Hydrogen	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
Green Hydrogen	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
Green Hydrogen	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,00
Green Hydrogen	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	1	11	4	2,75
Biofuel	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	3	16	3	16,00
Biofuel	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Biofuel	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Biofuel	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Biofuel	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Biofuel	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	3	10	3	10,00
Biofuel	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Biofuel	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-
Biofuel	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	1	11	4	2,75
BECCS	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
BECCS	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
BECCS	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
BECCS	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
BECCS	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
BECCS	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
BECCS	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
BECCS	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-
BECCS	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	0	11	4	-
Waste re-use / recycling	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	1	16	3	5,33
Waste re-use / recycling	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Waste re-use / recycling	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
Waste re-use / recycling	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Waste re-use / recycling	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
Waste re-use / recycling	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
Waste re-use / recycling	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
Waste re-use / recycling	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,00
Waste re-use / recycling	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	3	10	3	10,00
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-
landfill gas recovery and use or destruction	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,50
Agroforestry	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Agroforestry	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Agroforestry	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
Agroforestry	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Agroforestry	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Agroforestry	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Agroforestry	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
Agroforestry	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-
Agroforestry	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25
Mangrove ecosystems conservation, Rehabilitation and Restoration	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Mangrove ecosystems conservation, Rehabilitation and Restoration	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Mangrove ecosystems conservation, Rehabilitation and Restoration	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
Mangrove ecosystems conservation, Rehabilitation and Restoration	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Mangrove ecosystems conservation, Rehabilitation and Restoration	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Mangrove ecosystems conservation, Rehabilitation and Restoration	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
Mangrove ecosystems conservation, Rehabilitation and Restoration	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
Mangrove ecosystems conservation, Rehabilitation and Restoration	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-
Mangrove ecosystems conservation, Rehabilitation and Restoration	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	0	3	3	-
Sustainable forest Management (SFM)	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	4	11	4	11,00
Sustainable charcoal production	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Sustainable charcoal production	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Sustainable charcoal production	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Sustainable charcoal production	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Sustainable charcoal production	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Sustainable charcoal production	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Sustainable charcoal production	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
Sustainable charcoal production	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,00
Sustainable charcoal production	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	4	11	4	11,00

Uganda

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Small/micro-hydropower	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Small/micro-hydropower	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Small/micro-hydropower	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
Small/micro-hydropower	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Small/micro-hydropower	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Small/micro-hydropower	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Small/micro-hydropower	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Small/micro-hydropower	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Small/micro-hydropower	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,50
Geothermal	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	3	16	3	16,00
Geothermal	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Geothermal	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Geothermal	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Geothermal	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
Geothermal	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Geothermal	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
Geothermal	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	1	3	3	1,00
Geothermal	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,50
Solar PV	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	3	16	3	16,00
Solar PV	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Solar PV	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Solar PV	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Solar PV	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Solar PV	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Solar PV	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Solar PV	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Solar PV	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,50
Wind	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Wind	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
Wind	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
Wind	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
Wind	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Wind	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
Wind	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
Wind	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Wind	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,50
Improved Cook Stoves	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Improved Cook Stoves	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Improved Cook Stoves	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Improved Cook Stoves	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	3	9	3	9,00
Improved Cook Stoves	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Improved Cook Stoves	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Improved Cook Stoves	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Improved Cook Stoves	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Improved Cook Stoves	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI 	3	11	4	8,25

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 				
E-cooking	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
E-cooking	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
E-cooking	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
E-cooking	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
E-cooking	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
E-cooking	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	3	10	3	10,00
E-cooking	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
E-cooking	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
E-cooking	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
E-mobility	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
E-mobility	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
E-mobility	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
E-mobility	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
E-mobility	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
E-mobility	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	3	10	3	10,00
E-mobility	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
E-mobility	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
E-mobility	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,50
Bus rapid transport	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Bus rapid transport	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
Bus rapid transport	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
Bus rapid transport	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
Bus rapid transport	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
Bus rapid transport	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
Bus rapid transport	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Bus rapid transport	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	1	3	3	1,00
Bus rapid transport	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	1	11	4	2,75
Green Hydrogen	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Green Hydrogen	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Green Hydrogen	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Green Hydrogen	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
Green Hydrogen	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
Green Hydrogen	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
Green Hydrogen	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
Green Hydrogen	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Green Hydrogen	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,50
Rail Transport	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Rail Transport	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
Rail Transport	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Rail Transport	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
Rail Transport	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
Rail Transport	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
Rail Transport	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Rail Transport	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	1	3	3	1,00
Rail Transport	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	1	11	4	2,75
Composting	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Composting	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Composting	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
Composting	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Composting	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Composting	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Composting	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Composting	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Composting	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	4	11	4	11,00
Agroforestry	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	3	16	3	16,00
Agroforestry	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Agroforestry	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
Agroforestry	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Agroforestry	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Agroforestry	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Agroforestry	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Agroforestry	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,00
Agroforestry	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	3	5	3	5,00
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Smart irrigation technologies - Solar pumps, precision irrigation	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	2	11	4	5,50
Biomass to energy	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	3	16	3	16,00
Biomass to energy	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Biomass to energy	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Biomass to energy	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Biomass to energy	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Biomass to energy	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
Biomass to energy	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Biomass to energy	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Biomass to energy	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25
Improved livestock management	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	3	16	3	16,00
Improved livestock management	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Improved livestock management	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Improved livestock management	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Improved livestock management	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Improved livestock management	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Improved livestock management	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	3	14	3	14,00
Improved livestock management	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,00
Improved livestock management	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	4	11	4	11,00
Wetland and Peatland management	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Wetland and Peatland management	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Wetland and Peatland management	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
Wetland and Peatland management	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	2	9	3	6,00
Wetland and Peatland management	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Wetland and Peatland management	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
Wetland and Peatland management	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Wetland and Peatland management	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,00
Wetland and Peatland management	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25
BECCS	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	3	16	3	16,00
BECCS	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	2	22	3	14,67
BECCS	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
BECCS	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
BECCS	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
BECCS	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
BECCS	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	1	14	3	4,67
BECCS	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ease of monitoring Cost of monitoring Baseline evaluation 	1	3	3	1,00
BECCS	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility Sectoral alignment with NGHGI Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 	0	11	4	-
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectiveness Scalability Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy alignment Economic growth potential Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capital cost & operational costs Cost-effectiveness Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable Available/accessible and acceptable Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sustainable development Adaptation Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological advancement & potential disruption Market readiness Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical maturity Performance records Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00
Substitute clinker in the cement production process	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25
Refrigerant replacement	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	2	16	3	10,67
Refrigerant replacement	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Refrigerant replacement	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	1	5	3	1,67
Refrigerant replacement	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
Refrigerant replacement	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	2	10	3	6,67
Refrigerant replacement	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	1	10	3	3,33
Refrigerant replacement	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Refrigerant replacement	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	3	3	3	3,00

Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
Refrigerant replacement	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI · Alignment with NDC · Coverage of finance 	3	11	4	8,25
Biofuel	1. GHG mitigation potential	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Effectiveness · Scalability · Baseline evaluation 	3	16	3	16,00
Biofuel	2. National priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Policy alignment · Economic growth potential · Capacity building 	3	22	3	22,00
Biofuel	3. Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Capital cost & operational costs · Cost-effectiveness · Additionality 	2	5	3	3,33
Biofuel	4. Accessible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Affordable · Available/accessible and acceptable · Additionality 	1	9	3	3,00
Biofuel	5. Co-benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Sustainable development · Adaptation · Local value addition 	3	10	3	10,00
Biofuel	6. Innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technological advancement & potential disruption · Market readiness · Additionality 	2	10	3	6,67
Biofuel	7. Proven	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Technical maturity · Performance records · Demonstrated replicability 	2	14	3	9,33
Biofuel	8. MRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ease of monitoring · Cost of monitoring · Baseline evaluation 	2	3	3	2,00
Biofuel	9. Carbon finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Accessibility · Sectoral alignment with NGHGI 	2	11	4	5,50



Technology	Criteria	Scoring Indicators	Interim score	Weighting	Numerator	Final score
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alignment with NDC Coverage of finance 				